

4/1/06

Big Bang or Bust
by
Tom Holbrook

Have you folks been reading about the latest scientific “discovery” by a group of scientists pertaining to the origin of Earth and the planets and galaxies? I will quote the announcement in the paper... *“Physicists announced Thursday that they now have the smoking gun that shows the universe went through extremely rapid expansion in the moments after the big bang, growing from the size of a marble to a volume larger than all of observable space in less than trillion-trillionth of a second.”*

This whole “new” theory came about by analyzing a variance in the brightness of microwave radiation... they call this discovery *“direct evidence’ that supports a 20 year old theory that the universe went through what is called ‘inflation’.*” Scientists also say this helps explain how matter eventually *“clumped together into planets, stars and galaxies in a universe that began as a remarkably smooth, superhot soup.”* So all you unlearned people out there understand this... these items, now known as stars and planets, just kind of *“clumped”* together in almost perfect spheres and positioned themselves in the universe with proper distances between them, and somehow have floated around for thousands of years , keeping the Earth and all its inhabitants alive with excellent temperature control.

Don’t get me wrong folks... I’m not disputing the possibility that the Earth and every existing galaxy could suddenly appear in a trillion-trillionth of a second, in fact I totally accept it. If I’m not mistaken, I read a book, written over 2,000 years ago, that chronicled such a happening. The first chapter of this book pretty much laid it out as to how the Earth, stars, Sun and Moon came into existence... *“And God said, let there be.... And there was....”* A very simple explanation if you think about it, so why do we try to make it more difficult?

What pulls my chain is that these experts can come up with an entire hypothesis based on an earlier theory that has never passed a proof stage. A theory based on another theory is still a theory once removed. Why can’t mankind just accept the theory that makes more sense than one that says all that is the universe today just “happened” by accident and all that’s up there and down here just appeared out of “nothingness” due to a “Big Bang.” Folks, if they say “nothing” was there before, it makes sense that there was nothing there to Bang and if my math is correct, zero times 100 or even 1,000 is still zero. Nothingness multiplied by 100 Big Bangs would still result in nothingness. Only an intelligent, super natural Being could have spoken matter into existence out of nothing.

Brian Greene, a Columbia University physicist, said: *“The observations are spectacular and the conclusions are stunning.”* Why couldn’t he have said, *“The Big Guy certainly did a great work in the heavens and His creation is spectacular and stunning. What an awesome God He is.”*

Let me repeat myself from an earlier writing... if there was a Big Bang... couldn't there, shouldn't there, wouldn't there... just possibly... be a Big Banger?

Think on these things.

#