

8/26/06

Dems vs. GOP

By
Tom Holbrook

Those of us from WV accept the fact that our state has been known as a Democratically controlled state since time began. My grandparents were Democrat, my parents were Democrat, my brother is Democrat and I was a Democrat for 44 years until circumstances within and actions by the National Democratic Party reached the point that my conscience would not allow me to remain affiliated with them any longer. It has been many years since I voted a straight Democratic ticket as I once did... gosh it was so simple then. They stood for things good and moral... but with the country's gradual shift to a more liberal mindset the National Democratic Leaders began trading their moral and socially conservative agenda for a more liberal and politically correct one. Still I hung on, maintaining my membership as a Democrat but voting for the person of either party, whom I felt would be the better man or woman to do right by me, their constituent. Unfortunately, over the last 16 years the Democratic Party, as a National organization began to implode and go ballistic in things politically. Suddenly we were asked to give credence to their spokes-persons, role models and visionaries such as Jesse Jackson, Howard Dean, Al Gore, Bill Clinton, Al Sharpton, John Kerry, Charlie Rangel, Hillary Clinton and the ever-wise Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney of Georgia.

Six years ago the Democratic Party's Presidential ticket consisted of Al Gore of Tennessee, and his running mate Senator Joe Lieberman of Connecticut. In that election VP Al Gore couldn't even carry his home state, and Democrats yelled "Robbery" when President Bush won the election. Several weeks ago the Democratic voters in Connecticut voted once Democrat VP candidate Senator Lieberman off the Party's ticket for the upcoming Senatorial election saying they did it because Sen. Lieberman dressed as a Democrat but spoke as a Republican. Hogwash! Their leaders in Congress refused to endorse their colleague Sen. Lieberman, even though on all major issues, other than the Iraq war, he has voted the Dem Party line. Truth is the Democratic Party wanted Sen. Lieberman removed from office because he is an individual who speaks the truth and has the courage to stand and speak for what he believes... so much for Party loyalty.

As stated earlier the beautiful state of WV is, by a 2 to 1 majority, overwhelmingly Democrat in its political roll call, but thankfully the rank in file Democrat controlled legislature has gone against the National Dem's social agendas and voted their hearts and consciences. Also, the WV voters in the year 2000 gave President Bush 52% of the total vote to put him in office, and in spite of Democratic predictions to the contrary they returned him to office by an even larger 56% majority vote in 2004. West Virginians, with rare exceptions, are neither fooled nor swayed by the falsehoods concocted by their Democrat leaders, and choose to cast their votes based on the truth not innuendo. Hopefully, even those rare exceptions left who blindly maintain a loyalty to a National Party long corrupted by liberal and socialistic agendas will receive their sight and be true to their moral and conservative values.

Let me conclude with this short story concerning a father and his book-wise but life foolish daughter.

A young woman was about to finish her first year of college. Like so many others her age, she considered herself to be a very liberal Democrat, and was very much in favor of the redistribution of wealth.

She was deeply ashamed that her father was a rather staunch Republican... a feeling she openly expressed. Based on the lectures that she had participated in, and the occasional chat with her liberal professors, she felt that her father had for years harbored an evil, selfish desire to keep what she thought should be his.

One day she was challenging her father on his opposition to higher taxes on the upper middle class and wealthy, and the addition of more government welfare programs. The self-professed objectivity proclaimed by her professors had to be the truth and she indicated such to her father. He responded by asking how she was doing in school.

Taken aback, she answered rather haughtily that she had a 4.0 GPA, and let him know that it was tough to maintain, insisting that she was taking a very difficult course load and was constantly studying, which left her no time to go out and party like other people she knew. She didn't even have time for a boyfriend, and didn't really have many college friends because she spent all her time studying.

Her father listened and then asked, "How is your friend Audrey doing?" She replied, "Audrey is barely getting by. All she takes are easy classes, she never studies, and she barely has a 2.0 GPA. She is so popular on campus, college for her is a blast. She's always invited to all the parties, and lots of times she doesn't even show up for classes because she's too hung over."

Her wise father asked his daughter, "Why don't you go to the Dean's office and ask him to deduct a 1.0 off your GPA and give it to your friend who only has a 2.0. That Way you will both have a 3.0 GPA and certainly that would be a fair and equal distribution of GPA."

The daughter, visibly shocked by her father's suggestion, angrily fired back, "That wouldn't be fair! I have worked really hard for my grades! I've invested a lot of time, and a lot of hard work! Audrey has done next to nothing toward her degree. She played while I worked my tail off!"

The father slowly smiled, winked and said gently, "Welcome to the Conservative point of view."

Have a great week folks.

#